

## Brand-Level Effects of Stockkeeping Unit Reductions

JIE ZHANG and ARADHNA KRISHNA

### *Web Appendix: The Joint Model of Purchase Incidence, Brand Choice, and Purchase Quantity*

We extend the model developed by Zhang and Krishnamurthi (2004) to allow a more flexible distribution of the error terms.

Define:  $I_{it} = 1$  if household  $i$  makes a category purchase in week  $t$ , 0 otherwise;  $B_{ikt} = 1$  if household  $i$  purchases brand  $k$  in week  $t$ , 0 otherwise; and  $Q_{ikt}$  = household  $i$ 's purchase quantity of brand  $k$  in week  $t$ .

In generic terms, the brand utility functions are given by:  $U_{ikt} = V_{ikt} + \varepsilon_{ikt}$ ,

where  $k = 1, \dots, K$  denotes brands; the category purchase threshold function is:  $U_{i0t} = V_{i0t} + \varepsilon_{i0t}$ ;

and the latent purchase quantity function is:  $Q_{ikt}^* = Z_{ikt} + \xi_{ikt}$ , where  $\xi_{ikt}$  follows a logistic distribution with mean 0, scale parameter  $\delta_\xi$ , and cumulative distribution function (CDF):

$$(A1) \quad F(\xi_{ikt}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\delta_\xi \xi_{ikt})}.$$

Household  $i$  makes a category purchase at  $t$  if and only if  $\max(U_{ikt}, k = 1, \dots, K) > U_{i0t}$ , chooses brand  $k$  at  $t$  if and only if  $U_{ikt} > \max(U_{ijt}, j \neq k \text{ and } j = 0, 1, \dots, K)$ , and the observed household purchase quantity is  $Q_{ikt} = Q_{ikt}^*$  if  $I_{it} = 1$  and  $B_{ikt} = 1$ , and  $Q_{ikt} = 0$  otherwise.

Similar to Bell, Chiang, and Padmanabhan (1999), we use a nested logit model derived from a Generalized Extreme Value distribution for purchase incidence and brand choice.

Specifically, we assume that the error terms  $\varepsilon_{ikt}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, K$ , follow a joint Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) distribution with the joint CDF:

$$(A2) \quad F(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_K) = \exp\{-G(e^{-\varepsilon})\} = \exp\{-[\sum_{j=1}^K e^{-\varepsilon_j}]^{1-\phi} - e^{-\varepsilon_0}\}, \quad 0 < \phi < 1.$$

It can be shown that (see McFadden 1978, and Ben-Akiva and Lerman 1985):

$$(A3) \quad \Pr\{I_{it} = 1\} = \frac{\exp\{(1-\phi)\ln[\sum_{j=1}^K e^{V_{ijt}}]\}}{e^{V_{i0t}} + \exp\{(1-\phi)\ln[\sum_{j=1}^K e^{V_{ijt}}]\}} = \frac{[\sum_{j=1}^K e^{V_{ijt}}]^{1-\phi}}{e^{V_{i0t}} + [\sum_{j=1}^K e^{V_{ijt}}]^{1-\phi}}, \text{ and}$$

$$(A4) \quad \Pr\{I_{it} = 1, B_{ikt} = 1\} = \frac{e^{V_{ikt}} [\sum_{j=1}^K e^{V_{ijt}}]^{-\phi}}{e^{V_{i0t}} + [\sum_{j=1}^K e^{V_{ijt}}]^{1-\phi}}.$$

This is a nested logit model with the “inclusive value” equal to  $\ln\left(\sum_{j=1}^K e^{V_{ijt}}\right)$  and its coefficient being  $(1-\phi)$  (McFadden 1978). The parameter  $\phi$  measures the degree of similarity among the choice alternatives.

The joint probability in equation (A7) can also be derived from the following.

$$(A5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Pr\{I_{it} = 1, B_{ikt} = 1\} &= \Pr\{U_{ikt} > \max(U_{ijt}, j \neq k \text{ and } j = 0, 1, \dots, K)\} \\ &= \Pr\{V_{ikt} + \varepsilon_{ikt} > \max(V_{ijt} + \varepsilon_{ijt}, j \neq k \text{ and } j = 0, 1, \dots, K)\} \\ &= \Pr\{\max(V_{ijt} + \varepsilon_{ijt}, j \neq k \text{ and } j = 0, 1, \dots, K) - \varepsilon_{ikt} < V_{ikt}\} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon_{ikt}^* = \max(V_{ijt} + \varepsilon_{ijt}, j \neq k \text{ and } j = 0, 1, \dots, K) - \varepsilon_{ikt}$ . It can be shown that the CDF of  $\varepsilon_{ikt}^*$  is (see Bell, Chiang, and Padmanabhan 1999, page 524):

$$(A6) \quad F(\varepsilon_{ikt}^*) = \frac{e^{\varepsilon_{ikt}^*} [e^{\varepsilon_{ikt}^*} + \sum_{j \neq k, j=1, \dots, K} e^{V_{ijt}}]^{-\phi}}{e^{V_{i0t}} + [e^{\varepsilon_{ikt}^*} + \sum_{j \neq k, j=1, \dots, K} e^{V_{ijt}}]^{1-\phi}}.$$

It is straightforward to see that  $\Pr\{I_{it} = 1, B_{ikt} = 1\} = \Pr\{\varepsilon_{ikt}^* < V_{ikt}\} = F(\varepsilon_{ikt}^* = V_{ikt})$ .

We accommodate the independence in the purchase incidence, brand choice, and purchase quantity decisions by allowing  $\varepsilon_{ikt}^*$  and  $\xi_{ikt}$  to be jointly distributed. We adopt an approach introduced by Morgenstern (1956) and later extended by Farlie (1960) and Plackett (1965) to constructing a joint bivariate distribution function from known marginal distributions.

The joint CDF is given by:

$$(A7) \quad F(\varepsilon_{ikt}^*, \xi_{ikt}) = F(\varepsilon_{ikt}^*)F(\xi_{ikt})[1 + \theta(1 - F(\varepsilon_{ikt}^*))(1 - F(\xi_{ikt}))], \quad -1 \leq \theta \leq 1,$$

where  $\theta$  is to be estimated from the data.  $\theta = 0$  means that the two random terms are independent. By the definition of  $\varepsilon_{ikt}^*$ ,  $\theta > 0$  indicates that unobserved factors in the quantity and choice functions are *negatively* correlated, and  $\theta < 0$  indicates that unobserved factors in the two components are *positively* correlated (see Zhang and Krishnamurthi 2004).

We now derive the joint probability of  $\Pr(I_{it} = 1, B_{ikt} = 1, Q_{ikt} = q_{ikt})$ . For notation simplicity, let  $A = F(\varepsilon_{ikt}^* = V_{ikt}) = \Pr\{I_{it} = 1, B_{ikt} = 1\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr\{I_{it} = 1, B_{ikt} = 1, Q_{ikt} = q_{ikt}\} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{V_{ikt}} f(\varepsilon_{ikt}^*, \xi_{ikt} = q_{ikt} - Z_{ikt}) d\varepsilon_{ikt}^* \\
&= \frac{\partial F(\varepsilon_{ikt}^*, \xi_{ikt})}{\partial \xi_{ikt}} \Bigg|_{\substack{\varepsilon_{ikt}^* = V_{ikt} \\ \xi_{ikt} = q_{ikt} - Z_{ikt}}} \\
\text{(A8)} \quad &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{ikt}} \left\{ F(\varepsilon_{ikt}^*) \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\delta_\xi \xi_{ikt}}} [1 + \theta(1 - F(\varepsilon_{ikt}^*)) (1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\delta_\xi \xi_{ikt}}})] \right\} \Bigg|_{\substack{\varepsilon_{ikt}^* = V_{ikt} \\ \xi_{ikt} = q_{ikt} - Z_{ikt}}} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{ikt}} \left\{ A \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\delta_\xi \xi_{ikt}}} + \theta \cdot A(1 - A) \frac{e^{-\delta_\xi \xi_{ikt}}}{(1 + e^{-\delta_\xi \xi_{ikt}})^2} \right\} \Bigg|_{\xi_{ikt} = q_{ikt} - Z_{ikt}} \\
&= A \frac{\delta_\xi e^{\delta_\xi (Z_{ikt} - q_{ikt})}}{[1 + e^{\delta_\xi (Z_{ikt} - q_{ikt})}]^2} [1 + \theta(1 - A) \frac{-1 + e^{\delta_\xi (Z_{ikt} - q_{ikt})}}{1 + e^{\delta_\xi (Z_{ikt} - q_{ikt})}}]
\end{aligned}$$

where  $A = \frac{e^{V_{ikt}} [\sum_{j=1}^K e^{V_{ijt}}]^{-\phi}}{e^{V_{i0t}} + [\sum_{j=1}^K e^{V_{ijt}}]^{1-\phi}}$ .

It can be shown that the conditional expectation of purchase quantity is:

$$\text{(A9)} \quad E(Q_{ikt} | I_{it} = 1, B_{ikt} = 1) = \frac{1}{\delta_\xi} \left[ \log(1 + e^{\delta_\xi Z_{ikt}}) - \theta \cdot (1 - A) \frac{e^{\delta_\xi Z_{ikt}}}{1 + e^{\delta_\xi Z_{ikt}}} \right],$$

where  $A$  is the joint probability of purchase incidence and brand choice specified previously (see Zhang and Krishnamurthi 2004).

## REFERENCES

- Bell, David R., Jeongwen Chiang, and V. Padmanabhan (1999), "The Decomposition of Promotional Response: An Empirical Generalization," *Marketing Science*, 18 (4), 504–526.
- Ben-Akiva, Moshe and Steven Lerman (1985), *Discrete Choice Analysis: Theory and Application to Travel Demand*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Farlie, D.J.G. (1960), "The Performance of Some Correlation Coefficients for a General Bivariate Distribution," *Biometrika*, 47 (3/4), 307–323.
- McFadden, Daniel (1978), "Modeling the Choice of Residential Location," in *Spatial Interaction Theory and Residential Location*, A. Karlquist et al., eds. Amsterdam: North Holland, 75–96.
- Morgenstern, D. (1956), "Einfache Beispiele zweidimensionaler Verteilungen," *Mitteilungsblatt für Mathematische Statistik*, 8, 234–35.
- Plackett, R.L. (1965), "A Class of Bivariate Distributions," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 60 (310), 516–22.
- Zhang, Jie and Lakshman Krishnamurthi (2004), "Customizing Promotions in Online Stores," *Marketing Science*, 23 (4), 561–78.

Additional Table 1  
Average Prices, Price Cuts, and Market Share for Brands Studied

	<i>Regular Price (cents/oz.)</i>		<i>Price Cut (cents/oz.)</i>		<i>Shelf Price (cents/oz.)</i>		<i>Market Share</i>	
	Before SR	After SR	Before SR	After SR	Before SR	After SR	Before SR	After SR
<b><u>Liquid Detergent</u></b>								
Wisk	7.06	8.01	.94	1.26	6.12	6.75	10.9%	12.9%
All	4.50	5.20	.38	.55	4.11	4.65	6.3%	10.9%
Tide	6.98	7.84	.57	.59	6.42	7.25	51.9%	48.7%
Cheer	6.64	7.07	.16	0	6.48	7.07	8.4%	6.5%
Arm & Hammer	4.93	5.01	.45	.60	4.48	4.41	5.7%	4.9%
Era	5.90	6.01	.01	.14	5.89	5.87	4.6%	3.9%
Dreft	9.73	10.08	0	0	9.73	10.08	6.6%	4.4%
Surf	6.00	6.65	.09	.63	5.91	6.02	3.3%	3.1%
Private label	4.43	3.91	.10	.11	4.32	3.79	2.5%	4.7%
Overall	6.74	7.19	.47	.57	6.27	6.62	100%	100%
<b><u>Margarine</u></b>								
Brummel & Brown	14.75	15.66	.33	.71	14.43	14.95	6.2%	6.4%
Fleischmann's	13.56	17.82	.02	1.77	13.55	16.05	9.5%	9.7%
I Can't Believe...	14.83	16.12	.76	.79	14.07	15.33	26.5%	27.1%
Imperial	10.26	11.23	.11	.18	10.15	11.04	13.0%	9.5%
Land O'Lakes	13.56	16.33	.24	.40	13.32	15.93	8.3%	10.5%
Parkay	11.38	13.08	.48	.19	10.90	12.89	7.2%	7.4%
Promise	13.81	17.49	.23	.71	13.58	16.77	8.9%	12.6%
Shedds Country	6.98	7.96	.09	.52	6.88	7.44	15.7%	13.0%
Private label	5.81	5.60	.90	.30	4.91	5.3	4.7%	3.9%
Overall	12.01	14.31	.37	.67	11.64	13.63	100%	100%
<b><u>Spaghetti Sauce</u></b>								
Barilla	9.78	10.85	.19	.45	9.58	10.40	5.4%	8.1%
Classico	10.97	11.98	.22	.25	10.75	11.74	15.3%	13.2%
Five Brothers	11.19	11.97	.37	.35	10.81	11.62	4.0%	4.8%
Healthy Choice	8.50	9.40	.41	.49	8.09	8.91	1.9%	3.8%
Hunt's	4.59	5.00	.30	.29	4.29	4.7	1.9%	1.8%
Newman's Own	8.95	9.47	.14	.22	8.82	9.24	5.9%	5.5%
Prego	7.87	8.18	.17	.48	7.70	7.70	34.9%	39.8%
Ragu	7.38	8.60	.43	.32	6.95	8.28	28.0%	20.7%
Private label	7.61	6.81	.86	.81	6.75	5.99	2.7%	2.3%
Overall	8.45	9.20	.28	.40	8.17	8.80	100%	100%

Additional Table 2  
Pearson Correlation Coefficients of Variables in the Second-Stage Analysis

Variables in Models 1 and 2						
	$\Delta CQ$	$\gamma^*$	$FRQ$	$BOUT$	$SOUT$	$EHSR$
$\Delta PRI$	.3804	.7970	.1439	-.0031	-.0119	-.0496
$\Delta CQ$		.3461	.0442	-.0504	-.0041	-.0469
$\gamma^*$			.1505	.0108	-.0744	-.1139
$FRQ$				-.0334	-.0835	-.0397
$BOUT$					-.0129	.0647
$SOUT$						.7235

Variables in Model 3							
	$MS$	$PRICE$	$LPRM$	$DSKU$	$DSIZE$	$\Delta SKUSHR$	$EBSHR$
$\Delta PRB$	.1326	.1472	.0386	-.0656	-.1688	.1115	.0163
$MS$		.0901	.1880	.4237	.2287	.2009	-.1892
$PRICE$			-.4601	-.0787	-.1931	.0905	-.0942
$LPRM$				.5401	.1338	-.2097	.3348
$DSKU$					.5856	-.5855	.4750
$DSIZE$						-.4983	.0930
$\Delta SKUSHR$							-.5406

$\Delta PRI$  = standardized change in category purchase incidence probability;

$\Delta CQ$  = standardized change in quantity given a purchase occasion;

$\gamma^*$  = standardized household state dependence measure;

$FRQ$  = standardized category purchase frequency;

$BOUT$  = favorite brand being eliminated;

$SOUT$  = favorite SKU being eliminated;

$EHSR$  = eliminated SKUs' share of household purchase quantity;

$\Delta PRB$  = change in conditional brand choice probability;

$MS$  = market share before the SR;

$PRICE$  = standardized price level before the SR;

$LPRM$  = logarithm of promotion frequency before the SR;

$DSKU$  = number of SKUs eliminated;

$DSIZE$  = number of sizes eliminated;

$\Delta SKUSHR$  = change in the share of SKUs;

$EBSHR$  = eliminated SKUs' share of brand sales;